

Message

From: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]
Sent: 8/18/2020 5:18:14 PM
To: Creswell, Michael [Creswell.Michael@epa.gov]
CC: Hicks, Matt [Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]; Laycock, Kelly [Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Draft email to be sent by Tom to NMFS
Attachments: Draft email to NMFS (mlh).docx

Hi, Michael – Is this still the current version of the draft email, or have you by chance put it on SharePoint? I’m going to respond to Tom’s question about “determination” with some edits.

From: Calli, Rosemary
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 9:07 AM
To: Thomas McGill (Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov) <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Matt Hicks (Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov) <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Creswell, Michael <Creswell.Michael@epa.gov>; Kelly Laycock (Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov) <laycock.kelly@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft email to be sent by Tom to NMFS

I’ve made some edits. The description of the December letters is a little tricky – I’ve explained in the comment bubble. Looping in Kelly, too – please keep him in the loop on all things assumption.

From: Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2020 7:24 AM
To: Creswell, Michael <Creswell.Michael@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft email to be sent by Tom to NMFS

The draft email looks good but I had a few edits. I don’t have a copy of our December 15 letter to NMFS so one of my edits may not apply depending on what that letter says.

Thanks,

Matt

From: Creswell, Michael <Creswell.Michael@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 5:10 PM
To: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>
Subject: Draft email to be sent by Tom to NMFS

Attached is my draft email in reply to NMFS. Technically this may be redundant, but it closes the loop. Feel free to make any edits you deem necessary. Thanks.

From: Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 4:57 PM
To: Creswell, Michael <Creswell.Michael@epa.gov>; McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Quick question

Nope, that’s not wishful thinking. Here’s the letter.

Rosemary (Hall) Calli

Section Chief, Wetlands & Streams Regulatory Section

Aquatic Ecotoxicologist

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV

404.562.9846

Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov

From: Creswell, Michael <Creswell.Michael@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 4:36 PM

To: McGill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Subject: Quick question

Tom and Rosemary,

I am drafting up that five sentence email to NMFS, but I saw that there is a draft letter going out to Commerce as well. In that letter we mention a letter from NMFS to EPA that confirmed that we didn't need to consult with them. Am I correct that was mostly wishful thinking?

Michael W. Creswell, Attorney-Adviser

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4

Office of Regional Counsel

61 Forsyth Street, S.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Ph: (404) 562-9556

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is being sent by or on behalf of an attorney. It is intended exclusively for the individual(s) or entity(ies) to whom or to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete all copies of the message.